

## FACTORIZING NONNIL IDEALS INTO PRIME AND INVERTIBLE IDEALS

AYMAN BADAWI

### ABSTRACT

For a commutative ring  $R$ , let  $\text{Nil}(R)$  be the set of all nilpotent elements of  $R$ ,  $Z(R)$  the set of all zero divisors of  $R$ , and  $T(R)$  the total quotient ring of  $R$ . Set  $\mathcal{H} = \{R \mid R \text{ is a commutative ring and } \text{Nil}(R) \text{ is a divided prime ideal of } R\}$ . For a ring  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ , let  $\phi : T(R) \rightarrow R_{\text{Nil}(R)}$  be such that  $\phi(a/b) = a/b$  for every  $a \in R$  and  $b \in R \setminus Z(R)$ . A ring  $R$  is called a *ZPUI ring* if every proper ideal of  $R$  can be written as a finite product of invertible and prime ideals of  $R$ . This paper gives a generalization of the concept of ZPUI domains (which was extensively studied by Olberding) to the context of rings that are in the class  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . If every nonnil ideal of  $R$  can be written as a finite product of invertible and prime ideals of  $R$ , then  $R$  is called a *nonnil ZPUI ring*; also, if every nonnil ideal of  $\phi(R)$  can be written as a finite product of invertible and prime ideals of  $\phi(R)$ , then  $R$  is called a *nonnil  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring*. The theory of  $\phi$ -ZPUI rings is shown here to resemble that of ZPUI domains.

### 1. Introduction

We assume throughout that all rings are commutative with  $1 \neq 0$ . Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $T(R)$  denotes the total quotient ring of  $R$ ,  $Z(R)$  denotes the set of zero divisors of  $R$ , and  $\text{Nil}(R)$  denotes the set of nilpotent elements of  $R$ . The elements in  $R \setminus Z(R)$  are referred to as *regular elements*, and an ideal  $I$  is said to be regular if it contains at least one regular element. For a nonzero ideal  $I$ , regular or not, we let  $I^{-1} = \{x \in T(R) \mid xI \subset R\}$ . An ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is called *invertible* if  $II^{-1} = R$ .

We start by recalling some background material. Recall from [12] and [5] that a prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is called a *divided prime* if  $P \subset (x)$  for every  $x \in R \setminus P$ ; thus a divided prime ideal is comparable to every ideal of  $R$ . In [4, 6–9], the author investigated the class of rings  $\mathcal{H} = \{R \mid R \text{ is a commutative ring and } \text{Nil}(R) \text{ is a divided prime ideal of } R\}$ . Also, Anderson, Lucas and the author have undertaken further investigations into the class  $\mathcal{H}$  in [2, 3] and, most recently, [10].

In this paper, we take the concept of the factorization of ideals of an integral domain into a finite product of invertible and prime ideals, which was previously extensively studied by Olberding [21], and we generalise it to the context of rings that are in the class  $\mathcal{H}$ . Observe that if  $R$  is an integral domain, then  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . An ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is said to be a *nonnil ideal* if  $I \not\subset \text{Nil}(R)$ . For each  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ , the map  $\phi : T(R) \rightarrow R_{\text{Nil}(R)}$ , defined by  $\phi(a/b) = a/b$  for each  $a \in R$  and  $b \in R \setminus Z(R)$ , was introduced by the author in [4]. The map  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism from  $T(R)$  into  $R_{\text{Nil}(R)}$ , and  $\phi$  restricted to  $R$  is a ring homomorphism from  $R$  into  $R_{\text{Nil}(R)}$  given by  $\phi(x) = x/1$  for each  $x \in R$ . Note that  $T(\phi(R)) = R_{\text{Nil}(R)}$ . Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $R$  is said to be a  *$\phi$ -ZPUI ring* if each nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $\phi(R)$  can be written as  $I = JP_1P_2 \dots P_n$ , where  $J$  is an invertible ideal of  $\phi(R)$  and  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  are

---

Received 7 January 2004, revised 9 September 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 13F05 (primary), 13A15 (secondary).

prime ideals of  $\phi(R)$ . If every nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $R$  can be written as  $I = JP_1P_2 \dots P_n$ , where  $J$  is an invertible ideal of  $R$  and  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  are prime ideals of  $R$ , then  $R$  is said to be a *nonnil ZPUI ring*. Commutative  $\phi$ -ZPUI rings that are in  $\mathcal{H}$  are characterized in Theorem 2.9. Examples of  $\phi$ -ZPUI rings that are not ZPUI rings are constructed in Theorem 2.13. It is shown in Theorem 2.14 that a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring is the pullback of a ZPUI domain. It is shown in Theorem 3.1 that a nonnil ZPUI ring is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring. Examples of  $\phi$ -ZPUI rings that are not nonnil ZPUI rings are constructed in Theorem 3.2.

If  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is divided, then it is also the nilradical of  $T(R)$ , and the kernel of the map  $\phi$  is also a common ideal of  $R$  and  $T(R)$ . Other useful features of each ring  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  (see [4]) include the following:

- (i)  $\phi(R) \in \mathcal{H}$ ;
- (ii)  $T(\phi(R)) = R_{\text{Nil}(R)}$  is quasilocal with maximal ideal  $\text{Nil}(\phi(R))$ ;
- (iii)  $\phi(R)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $R/\text{Ker}(\phi)$ ;
- (iv)  $\text{Nil}(R_{\text{Nil}(R)}) = \phi(\text{Nil}(R)) = \text{Nil}(\phi(R)) = Z(\phi(R))$ ; and
- (v)  $R_{\text{Nil}(R)}/\text{Nil}(\phi(R)) = T(\phi(R))/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))$  is the quotient field of  $\phi(R)/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))$ .

If  $I$  is a nonnil ideal of a ring  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ , then observe that  $\text{Nil}(R) \subset I$ .

Throughout the paper we will use the technique of the idealization of a module to construct examples. Recall that for an  $R$ -module  $B$ , the idealization of  $B$  over  $R$  is the ring formed from  $R \times B$  by defining addition and multiplication as  $(r, a) + (s, b) = (r + s, a + b)$  and  $(r, a)(s, b) = (rs, rb + sa)$ , respectively. A standard notation for the ‘idealized ring’ is  $R(+B)$ . See [17–19] for the basic properties of these rings. For further background material, we recommend the papers [1, 11, 14, 15].

## 2. $\phi$ -ZPUI RINGS

We recall the following two lemmas from [2], which will allow us to prove the theorem that follows them.

**LEMMA 2.1** [2, Lemma 2.3]. *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\text{Nil}(R) = Z(R)$ , and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I$  is an invertible ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $I/\text{Nil}(R)$  is an invertible ideal of  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ .*

**LEMMA 2.2** [2, Lemma 2.5]. *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ , and let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then  $R/P$  is ring-isomorphic to  $\phi(R)/\phi(P)$ . In particular,  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is ring-isomorphic to  $\phi(R)/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))$ .*

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring if and only if  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a ZPUI domain.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring. Set  $D = \phi(R)/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))$ , and let  $L$  be a nonzero ideal of  $D$ . Then  $L = I/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))$  for some nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $\phi(R)$ . Thus  $I = JP_1P_2 \dots P_n$ , where  $J$  is an invertible ideal of  $\phi(R)$  and  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  are prime ideals of  $\phi(R)$ . Since  $\text{Nil}(\phi(R)) = Z(\phi(R))$ , we conclude that  $J/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))$  is an invertible ideal of  $D$ , by Lemma 2.1. Thus

$$L = I/\text{Nil}(\phi(R)) = (J/\text{Nil}(\phi(R)))(P_1/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))) \dots (P_n/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))),$$

and hence  $D$  is a ZPUI domain. Since  $D$  is ring-isomorphic to  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  by Lemma 2.2, we conclude that  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a ZPUI domain.

Conversely, suppose that  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a ZPUI domain. Then  $D = \phi(R)/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))$  is a ZPUI domain, by Lemma 2.2. Let  $I$  be a nonnil ideal of  $\phi(R)$ . Since  $\phi(R) \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $I/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))$  is a nonzero ideal of  $D$ . Thus

$$I/\text{Nil}(\phi(R)) = (J/\text{Nil}(\phi(R)))(P_1/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))) \dots (P_n/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))),$$

where  $J$  is an invertible ideal of  $\phi(R)$  (by Lemma 2.1) and  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  are prime ideals of  $\phi(R)$ . We show that  $I = JP_1P_2 \dots P_n$ . This follows since  $\text{Nil}(\phi(R)) \subset I$  because  $\text{Nil}(\phi(R)) \subset P_i$  for each  $i$  and  $\text{Nil}(\phi(R))$  is a divided prime ideal of  $\phi(R)$ . Thus  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring.  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ , and let  $I$  be a nonnil ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I$  is a finitely generated ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $I/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a finitely generated ideal of  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of [9, Theorem 2.2]. Suppose that  $I$  is a nonnil finitely generated ideal of  $R$ . Since  $\text{Nil}(R) \subset I$ , it is clear that  $I/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a finitely generated ideal of  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ . Conversely, suppose that  $J = I/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a finitely generated ideal of  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ . Then  $J = (i_1 + \text{Nil}(R), \dots, i_n + \text{Nil}(R))$  for some values of  $i_m$  in  $I$ . Since  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is divided, we may assume that all the  $i_m$  are nonnilpotent elements of  $R$ , and thus  $\text{Nil}(R) \subset (i_1)$ . Now let  $x$  be a nonnilpotent element of  $I$ . Then  $x + \text{Nil}(R) = c_1i_1 + \dots + c_ni_n + \text{Nil}(R)$  in  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  for some values of  $c_m$  in  $R$ . Hence there is a  $w \in \text{Nil}(R)$  such that  $x + w = c_1i_1 + \dots + c_ni_n$  in  $R$ . Since  $x \in I \setminus \text{Nil}(R)$ ,  $x \nmid w$  in  $R$ . Thus  $w = xf$  for some  $f \in \text{Nil}(R)$ . Hence

$$x + w = x + xf = x(1 + f) = c_1i_1 + \dots + c_ni_n \quad \text{in } R.$$

Since  $f \in \text{Nil}(R)$ ,  $1 + f$  is a unit of  $R$ . Thus  $x \in (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ , and hence  $I$  is a finitely generated ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

Recall from [19] that a ring  $R$  is called a *Prüfer ring* if every finitely generated regular ideal of  $R$  is invertible. A Prüfer domain  $R$  is called a *strongly discrete Prüfer domain*, as in [20, 21], if  $R$  has no nonzero prime ideals  $P$  such that  $P^2 = P$ . A ring  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  is said to be a  $\phi$ -*Prüfer ring*, as in [2], if  $\phi(R)$  is a Prüfer ring. We call a ring  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  a *nonnil strongly discrete ring* if  $R$  has no nonnil prime ideal  $P$  such that  $P^2 = P$ . An integral domain  $R$  is called *h-local*, as in [20], if each nonzero ideal of  $R$  is contained in at most finitely many maximal ideals of  $R$  and each nonzero prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is contained in a unique maximal ideal of  $R$ . A ring  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  is said to be *nonnil h-local* if each nonnil ideal of  $R$  is contained in at most finitely many maximal ideals of  $R$  and each nonnil prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is contained in a unique maximal ideal of  $R$ .

The reader can easily verify the following two lemmas.

**LEMMA 2.5.** *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $R$  is a nonnil h-local ring if and only if  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is an h-local domain.*

**LEMMA 2.6.** *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $R$  is a nonnil strongly discrete Prüfer ring if and only if  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a strongly discrete Prüfer domain.*

We recall the following result from [2].

PROPOSITION 2.7 [2]. *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring if and only if  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a Prüfer domain.*

Combining Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 with Proposition 2.7 yields the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $R$  is a nonnil strongly discrete nonnil  $h$ -local  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring if and only if  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a strongly discrete  $h$ -local Prüfer domain.*

Since the class of integral domains is a subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ , the following result is a generalization of [21, Theorem 2.3].

THEOREM 2.9. *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring.
- (2) Every nonnil proper ideal of  $R$  can be written as a product of prime ideals of  $R$  and a finitely generated ideal of  $R$ .
- (3) Every nonnil proper ideal of  $\phi(R)$  can be written as a product of prime ideals of  $\phi(R)$  and a finitely generated ideal of  $\phi(R)$ .
- (4)  $R$  is a nonnil strongly discrete nonnil  $h$ -local  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring.

*Proof.* Set  $D = R/\text{Nil}(R)$ .

(1)  $\implies$  (2). Since  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring,  $D$  is a ZPUI domain, by Theorem 2.3. Let  $I$  be a nonnil proper ideal of  $R$ . Then, by [21, Theorem 2.3], we have  $I/\text{Nil}(R) = (J/\text{Nil}(R))(P_1/\text{Nil}(R)) \dots (P_n/\text{Nil}(R))$ , where  $J$  is a (nonnil) finitely generated ideal of  $R$  (by Lemma 2.4) and  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  are prime ideals of  $R$ . Since  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is divided, it is easily verified that  $I = JP_1 \dots P_n$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (3). Let  $L$  be a nonnil proper ideal of  $\phi(R)$ . Then  $L = \phi(I)$  for some nonnil proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Since  $I = JP_1 \dots P_n$ , where  $J$  is a (nonnil) finitely generated ideal of  $R$  and  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  are prime ideals of  $R$ , it is easily verified that  $L = \phi(I) = \phi(J)\phi(P_1) \dots \phi(P_n)$ , where  $\phi(J)$  is a finitely generated ideal of  $\phi(R)$  and  $\phi(P_1), \dots, \phi(P_n)$  are (nonnil) prime ideals of  $\phi(R)$ .

(3)  $\implies$  (4). Let  $F = \phi(R)/\text{Nil}(\phi(R))$ . Then every nonzero ideal of  $F$  can be written as a product of prime ideals of  $F$  and a finitely generated ideal of  $F$ , and thus  $F$  is a strongly discrete  $h$ -local Prüfer domain, by [21, Theorem 2.3]. Since  $F$  is ring-isomorphic to  $D$ , we conclude that  $D$  is a strongly discrete  $h$ -local Prüfer domain, and hence  $R$  is a nonnil strongly discrete nonnil  $h$ -local  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring, by Proposition 2.8.

(4)  $\implies$  (1). Since  $R$  is a nonnil strongly discrete nonnil  $h$ -local  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring, we conclude that  $D = R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a strongly discrete  $h$ -local Prüfer domain, by Proposition 2.8. Thus  $D$  is a ZPUI domain, by [21, Theorem 2.3], and hence  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring, by Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $Z(R) = \text{Nil}(R)$ . Then  $\phi(R) = R$ , and hence  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring if and only if  $R$  is a nonnil ZPUI ring. We state this connection in the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\text{Nil}(R) = Z(R)$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $R$  is a nonnil ZPUI ring.
- (2)  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring.
- (3) Every nonnil proper ideal of  $R$  can be written as a product of prime ideals of  $R$  and a finitely generated ideal of  $R$ .
- (4)  $R$  is a nonnil strongly discrete nonnil  $h$ -local Prüfer ring.

Recall that a *special primary ring* is a quasilocal commutative ring  $R$  with maximal ideal  $M$  such that every proper ideal of  $R$  is a power of  $M$ . We state the following useful lemma.

LEMMA 2.11 (see [21, Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.3]). *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $R$  is a ZPUI ring if and only if  $R$  is either a strongly discrete  $h$ -local Prüfer domain, or a special primary ring.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is a ZPUI ring. First observe that if a ring  $A \cong A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  (where each  $A_i$  is a ring with  $1 \neq 0$ ) and  $n \geq 2$ , then  $\text{Nil}(A)$  is never divided, and hence  $A \notin \mathcal{H}$ . Now, since  $R$  is a ZPUI ring, by [21, Theorem 3.3] we have  $R \cong D_1 \oplus \dots \oplus D_n$ , where each  $D_i$  is either a strongly discrete  $h$ -local Prüfer domain or a special primary ring. Since  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is divided, by the observation that we have just stated we conclude that  $n = 1$ , and thus  $R$  is either a strongly discrete  $h$ -local Prüfer domain, or a special primary ring. The converse is clear, by [21, Theorem 3.3].  $\square$

Our non-domain examples of  $\phi$ -ZPUI rings that are not ZPUI rings are provided by the idealization construction  $R(+)B$  arising from a ring  $R$  and an  $R$ -module  $B$  as in [19, Chapter VI]. We recall this construction. Let  $R(+)B = R \times B$ , and define:

- (i)  $(r, b) + (s, c) = (r + s, b + c)$ ;
- (ii)  $(r, b)(s, c) = (rs, sb + rc)$ .

Under these definitions,  $R(+)B$  becomes a commutative ring with identity. We recall the following two facts.

PROPOSITION 2.12. *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $B$  an  $R$ -module, and  $Z(B)$  the set of zero divisors on  $B$ . Then the following statements hold.*

(1) [19, Theorem 25.1]: *The ideal  $J$  of  $R(+)B$  is prime if and only if  $J = P(+)B$ , where  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ . Likewise, the ideal  $J$  of  $R(+)B$  is maximal if and only if  $J = P(+)B$ , where  $P$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Hence the Krull dimension of  $R$  is equal to the Krull dimension of  $R(+)B$ .*

(2) [19, Theorem 25.3]:  *$(r, b) \in Z(R(+)B)$  if and only if  $r \in Z(R) \cup Z(B)$ .*

Olberding showed in [21, Corollary 2.4] that for each  $n \geq 1$ , there exists a ZPUI domain with Krull dimension  $n$ . A Dedekind domain is a trivial example of a ZPUI domain.

THEOREM 2.13. *Let  $A$  be a ZPUI domain (that is,  $A$  is a strongly discrete  $h$ -local Prüfer domain, by [21, Theorem 2.3]) with Krull dimension  $n \geq 1$  and quotient field  $F$ , and let  $K$  be an extension ring of  $F$  (that is,  $K$  is a ring and  $F \subseteq K$ ). Then  $R = A(+)K \in \mathcal{H}$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring with Krull dimension  $n$  that is not a ZPUI ring.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\text{Nil}(R) = \{0\}(+)K$ . We show that  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is divided. Let  $(0, k) \in R$ , and let  $(a, b) \in R \setminus \text{Nil}(R)$ . Then  $a \neq 0$ , and hence  $(0, k) = (a, b)(0, k/a)$ . Observe that  $k/a \in K$  because  $F \subseteq K$ . Thus  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ .  $R$  is not a ZPUI ring, by Lemma 2.11. Since  $R/\text{Nil}(R) \cong A$  is a ZPUI domain, we see that  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring, by Theorem 2.3. The Krull dimension of  $R$  is  $n$ , by Proposition 2.12(1).  $\square$

In the following theorem, we show that a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring is a pullback of a ZPUI domain. A good reference for pullback is the article of Fontana [13].

**THEOREM 2.14.** *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring if and only if  $\phi(R)$  is ring-isomorphic to a ring  $A$  obtained from the following pullback diagram, where  $T$  is a zero-dimensional quasilocal ring with maximal ideal  $M$ ,  $A/M$  is a ZPUI ring that is a subring of  $T/M$ , the vertical arrows are the usual inclusion maps, and the horizontal arrows are the usual surjective maps.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \longrightarrow & A/M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \longrightarrow & T/M \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\phi(R)$  is ring-isomorphic to a ring  $A$  obtained from the diagram given in the theorem. Then  $A \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\text{Nil}(A) = Z(A) = M$ . Since  $A/M$  is a ZPUI domain,  $A$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring, by Theorem 2.3, and thus  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring.

Conversely, suppose that  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring. Then, letting  $T = R_{\text{Nil}(R)}$ ,  $M = \text{Nil}(R_{\text{Nil}(R)})$ , and  $A = \phi(R)$  yields the desired pullback diagram.  $\square$

### 3. Nonnil ZPUI rings and nonnil ZPI rings

We start with the following result.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  be a nonnil ZPUI ring. Then  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring, and hence all the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a ZPUI domain.
- (2) Every nonnil proper ideal of  $R$  can be written as a product of prime ideals of  $R$  and a finitely generated ideal of  $R$ .
- (3) Every nonnil proper ideal of  $\phi(R)$  can be written as a product of prime ideals of  $\phi(R)$  and a finitely generated ideal of  $\phi(R)$ .
- (4)  $R$  is a nonnil strongly discrete nonnil  $h$ -local  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring.
- (5)  $R$  is a nonnil strongly discrete nonnil  $h$ -local Prüfer ring.

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be a nonnil proper ideal ideal of  $\phi(R)$ . Then  $L = \phi(I)$  for some nonnil proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Since  $I = JP_1P_2 \dots P_n$ , where  $J$  is an invertible ideal of  $R$  and  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  are prime ideals of  $R$ , it follows that

$$L = \phi(I) = \phi(J)\phi(P_1) \dots \phi(P_n),$$

where  $\phi(J)$  is an invertible ideal of  $\phi(R)$  and  $\phi(P_1), \phi(P_2), \dots, \phi(P_n)$  are prime ideals of  $\phi(R)$ . Thus  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring.

Now statement (1) is clear by Theorem 2.3, and statements (2), (3) and (4) are clear from Theorem 2.9. For statement (5), by [2] just observe that  $R$  is a Prüfer ring because  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring.  $\square$

In the following result, we show that if  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring, then  $R$  does not need to be a nonnil ZPUI ring. In particular, we show that if  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  satisfies any of the five statements in Theorem 3.1, then  $R$  does not need to be a nonnil ZPUI ring.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a ZPUI domain that is not a Dedekind domain, with Krull dimension  $n \geq 1$  and quotient field  $K$ . Then*

$$R = A(+)K/A \in \mathcal{H}$$

*is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring, with Krull dimension  $n$ , that is not a nonnil ZPUI ring.*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Nil}(R) = \{0\}(+)K/A$ , by a similar calculation to that given in the proof of Theorem 2.13, we conclude that  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is divided, and thus  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $R/\text{Nil}(R) \cong A$  is a ZPUI domain,  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring by Theorem 2.3, and the Krull dimension of  $R$  is  $n$  by Proposition 2.12(1). Since every non-unit element of  $R$  is a zero divisor of  $R$  by Proposition 2.12(2), we conclude that  $T(R) = R$ , and thus  $R$  is the only invertible ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $R$  is a nonnil ZPUI ring. Then every nonnil proper ideal of  $R$  is a finite product of prime ideals of  $R$ , and hence every proper ideal of the integral domain  $R/\text{Nil}(R) \cong A$  is a finite product of prime ideals of  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ . Thus  $R/\text{Nil}(R) \cong A$  is a Dedekind domain, a contradiction. Hence  $R$  is not a nonnil ZPUI ring.  $\square$

Recall from [16] that a ring  $R$  is called a *ZPI ring* if every nonzero proper ideal of  $R$  is uniquely a product of prime ideals of  $R$ , and  $R$  is called a *general ZPI ring* if every nonzero proper ideal of  $R$  is a product of prime ideals of  $R$ . In [4], it is said that a ring  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  is a *nonnil ZPI ring* if every nonnil proper ideal of  $R$  is uniquely a product of (nonnil) prime ideals of  $R$ , and it is said that  $R$  is a *general nonnil ZPI ring* if every nonnil proper ideal of  $R$  is a product of (nonnil) prime ideals of  $R$ . A ring  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  is called a  *$\phi$ -Dedekind ring* as in [4], if every nonnil ideal of  $R$  is invertible. A ring  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  is called a *nonnil Noetherian ring* as in [9] if every nonnil ideal of  $R$  is finitely generated.

We recall the following two results from [4].

**PROPOSITION 3.3** [4, Corollary 2.17]. *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -Dedekind ring.
- (2)  $R$  is a nonnil ZPI ring.
- (3)  $R$  is a general nonnil ZPI ring.

**PROPOSITION 3.4** [4, Proposition 2.11]. *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  be a nonnil Noetherian ring. Then  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -Dedekind ring if and only if  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring.*

Combining Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 with Theorem 2.9, we arrive at the following result.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  be a nonnil Noetherian ring. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ZPUI ring.
- (2)  $R$  is a nonnil ZPUI ring.
- (3)  $R$  is a nonnil ZPI ring.
- (4)  $R$  is a general nonnil ZPI ring.
- (5)  $R$  is a nonnil strongly discrete nonnil  $h$ -local  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring.
- (6)  $R$  is a nonnil strongly discrete nonnil  $h$ -local  $\phi$ -Dedekind ring.

### References

1. D. D. ANDERSON, 'Non-finitely generated ideals in valuation domains', *Tamkang J. Math.* 18 (1987) 49–52.
2. D. F. ANDERSON and A. BADAWI, 'On  $\phi$ -Prüfer rings and  $\phi$ -Bezout rings', *Houston J. Math.* 30 (2004) 331–343.
3. D. F. ANDERSON and A. BADAWI, 'On  $\phi$ -Dedekind rings and  $\phi$ -Krull rings', *Houston J. Math.*, to appear.
4. A. BADAWI, 'On  $\phi$ -pseudo-valuation rings', *Advances in Commutative Ring Theory* (Fez, Morocco, 1997), Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math. 205 (Marcel Dekker, New York/Basel, 1999) 101–110.
5. A. BADAWI, 'On divided commutative rings', *Comm. Algebra* 27 (1999) 1465–1474.
6. A. BADAWI, 'On  $\phi$ -pseudo-valuation rings II', *Houston J. Math.* 26 (2000) 473–480.
7. A. BADAWI, 'On  $\phi$ -chained rings and  $\phi$ -pseudo-valuation rings', *Houston J. Math.* 27 (2001) 725–736.
8. A. BADAWI, 'On divided rings and  $\phi$ -pseudo-valuation rings', *Internat. J. Commutative Rings (IJCR)* 1 (2002) 51–60.
9. A. BADAWI, 'On nonnil-Noetherian rings', *Comm. Algebra* 31 (2003) 1669–1677.
10. A. BADAWI and T. LUCAS, 'On  $\phi$ -Mori rings', *Houston J. Math.*, to appear.
11. S. BAZZONI and L. SALCE, 'Warfield domains', *J. Algebra* 185 (1996) 836–868.
12. D. E. DOBBS, 'Divided rings and going-down', *Pacific J. Math.* 67 (1976) 353–363.
13. M. FONTANA, 'Topologically defined classes of commutative rings', *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 123 (1980) 331–355.
14. M. FONTANA, J. HUCKABA and I. PAPICK, *Prüfer domains* (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997).
15. S. GABELLI and N. POPESCU, 'Invertible and divisorial ideals of generalized Dedekind domains', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 135 (1999) 237–248.
16. R. GILMER, *Multiplicative ideal theory*, Queen's Paper in Pure and Applied Mathematics 90 (Queen's University, Kingston, Canada, 1992).
17. G. HINKLE, 'The generalized Kronecker function ring and the ring  $R(X)$ ', dissertation, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, 1975.
18. G. HINKLE and J. HUCKABA, 'The generalized Kronecker function ring and the ring  $R(X)$ ', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 292 (1977) 25–36.
19. J. HUCKABA, *Commutative rings with zero divisors* (Marcel Dekker, New York/Basel, 1988).
20. B. OLBERDING, 'Globalizing local properties of Prüfer domains', *J. Algebra* 205 (1998) 480–504.
21. B. OLBERDING, 'Factorization ideals into prime and invertible ideals', *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) (2000) 336–344.

Ayman Badawi  
 Department of Mathematics  
 The American University of Sharjah  
 P.O. Box 26666  
 Sharjah  
 United Arab Emirates  
 abadawi@aus.ac.ae